

NOTE FOR: Chief, Real Estate & Construction Division, OL

STAT FROM: [REDACTED]
Deputy Director of Logistics

29 JAN 1982

SUBJECT: Headquarters Storage Alternatives Study dated
26 January 1982

Tony:

1. Before forwarding the attached to the DDA, I would like to clarify the following points:

- a. Page 2 refers to a standard deviation of 7,952 lbs. Is this figure relevant to something I should understand, and if so, what? ✓
- b. Page 3 indicates that if a site is "not visible" the external approval process might be lessened. Do you think the external approvals would still be required for the air bubble alternative which is by definition temporary construction? ✓
- c. Page 4 - if the movable metal partitions in the 7,000 square feet of space were relocated would the 7,000 square feet of space be suitable for Headquarters storage of the kind we need? ✓
- d. Alternative 2 - Can LSD identify relocation space for the current occupants of that area?
- e. Alternatives 4, 9, and 12 all require the movement of material from the Headquarters Building to geographically removed space and then to [REDACTED] (the reverse is true for material coming in). Since all alternatives would involve personnel and trucks to make them work, what savings would accrue over direct transportation to and from [REDACTED]
- f. Has Chief, Supply Division specifically ruled out increased frequency of truck delivery to and from Headquarters to alleviate the problem?

STAT

STAT

2. I think the engineering part of the study was very nicely done and well presented, but I would think the kinds of questions raised above are the sort of issues which our engineers should learn to address as part of complete staff work early in the game. Please give my compliments to whomever prepared the study, but I also ask that they complete the staff work before we forward it to the DDA. If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Tony
dan